

Discrete: Classical Mechanics of Point Particles - Monatomic Chain

$$M\ddot{u}_n = K(u_{n+1} + u_{n-1} - 2u_n) \leftarrow \text{Newton's law}$$

- we apply periodic B.C. $\Rightarrow q$ discretized
- Monatomic chain with N atoms (N unit cells)

$$u_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{i q n a} \underbrace{e^{-i \omega(q)t}}_{B_q(t)} A_q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{i q n a} B_q(t)$$

\uparrow
 just a normalization factor

where $q \in 1^{st}$ B.Z.

Substitute into eqs. of motion gives

$$\omega(q) = \sqrt{\frac{4K}{M}} \left| \sin\left(\frac{qa}{2}\right) \right|$$

dispersion relation
 note: $\omega(-q) = \omega(q)$

General solution takes on the form:

$$u_n(t) = \sum_q \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{i q n a} e^{-i \omega(q)t} A_q + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{-i q n a} e^{i \omega(q)t} A_q^* \right)$$

\nearrow real

$\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{\text{C.C.}}$

At $t=0$, if $u_n(0)$ and $\dot{u}_n(0)$ are given, then A_q and A_q^* are fixed. Subsequently, at time t , solution is given by $u_n(t)$.

⌈ Note that one can also write:

$$\begin{aligned}
u_n(t) &= \sum_q \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iqna} e^{-i\omega(q)t} A_q + \sum_q \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{-iqna} e^{i\omega(q)t} A_q^* \\
&= \sum_q \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iqna} e^{-i\omega(q)t} A_q + \sum_{q'} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iq'na} e^{i\omega(-q')t} A_{-q'}^* \\
&= \sum_q \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iqna} e^{-i\omega(q)t} A_q + \sum_q \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iqna} e^{i\omega(q)t} A_{-q}^* \\
&= \sum_q \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iqna} \left(e^{-i\omega(q)t} A_q + e^{i\omega(-q)t} A_{-q}^* \right) \\
&= \sum_q \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iqna} (B_q + B_{-q}^*) \quad \leftarrow \text{sometimes this form is more convenient.}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that quite generally, $\omega(-q) = \omega(q)$.

Lagrangian and Hamiltonian

$$T = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{2} M \dot{u}_n^2 \quad ; \quad V = \frac{1}{2} K \sum_{n=1}^N (u_n - u_{n+1})^2$$

- Lagrangian equations:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} L &= T - V \\ \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{u}_n} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_n} &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ give equations of motion}$$

- Define conjugate momentum $p_n = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{u}_n}$

$$p_n = M \dot{u}_n$$

- Define $H = \sum_n p_n \dot{u}_n - L$

$$H = \sum_n \frac{p_n^2}{2M} + \frac{K}{2} \sum_n (u_n - u_{n+1})^2$$

- Hamilton equations $\dot{u}_n = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_n} \quad ; \quad \dot{p}_n = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial u_n}$

For monatomic chain,

$$\dot{u}_n = \frac{p_n}{M} \quad ; \quad \dot{p}_n = K(u_{n+1} + u_{n-1} - 2u_n)$$

(same as before)

Task: Write $H = \sum_n \frac{p_n^2}{2M} + \frac{K}{2} \sum_n (u_n - u_{n+1})^2 = \text{K.E.} + \text{P.E.}$
 in terms of A_q, A_q^* (or B_q, B_q^*).

$$p_n = M \dot{u}_n = \sum_q \left(-i\omega(q) M \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iqna} e^{-i\omega(q)t} A_q + i\omega(q) M \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{-iqna} e^{i\omega(q)t} A_q^* \right)$$

$$= \sum_q \left(-i\omega(q) M \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iqna} B_q(t) + \text{c.c.} \right)$$

k.e. term:

$$\frac{1}{2M} \sum_n p_n^2 = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_n \left(\sum_q \left(-i\omega(q) M \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iqna} B_q(t) + \text{c.c.} \right) \right)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2M} \sum_n \sum_q \sum_{q'} \left(-i\omega(q) M \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iqna} B_q + i\omega(q) M \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{-iqna} B_q^* \right) \cdot$$

$$\left(-i\omega(q') M \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iq'na} B_{q'} + i\omega(q') M \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{-iq'na} B_{q'}^* \right)$$

$$= \textcircled{1} + \textcircled{2} + \textcircled{3} + \textcircled{4} \quad (4 \text{ terms})$$

$$\textcircled{1} = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_q \sum_{q'} -\omega(q)\omega(q') M^2 B_q B_{q'} \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \sum_n e^{i(q+q')na}}_{\delta_{q',-q}}$$

$$= \frac{M}{2} \sum_q -\omega(q)\omega(-q) B_q B_{-q}$$

$$\textcircled{2} = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_q \sum_{q'} -\omega(q)\omega(q') M^2 B_q^* B_{q'}^* \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \sum_n e^{-i(q+q')na}}_{\delta_{q',-q}}$$

$$= \frac{M}{2} \sum_q -\omega(q)\omega(-q) B_q^* B_{-q}^*$$

Note:
 $q, q' \in 1^{st} \text{ BZ}$
 * only when $q+q'=0$
 $\sum_n 1 = N$
 * otherwise, 0.

$$(3) = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}'} \omega(\mathbf{q}) \omega(\mathbf{q}') M^2 B_{\mathbf{q}} B_{\mathbf{q}'}^* \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \sum_n e^{i(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{q}')na}}_{\delta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}'}}$$

$$= \frac{M}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \omega(\mathbf{q}) \omega(\mathbf{q}) B_{\mathbf{q}} B_{\mathbf{q}}^* = \frac{M}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \omega^2(\mathbf{q}) B_{\mathbf{q}} B_{\mathbf{q}}^*$$

$$(4) = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}'} \omega(\mathbf{q}) \omega(\mathbf{q}') M^2 B_{\mathbf{q}}^* B_{\mathbf{q}'} \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \sum_n e^{-i(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{q}')na}}_{\delta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}'}}$$

$$= \frac{M}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \omega^2(\mathbf{q}) B_{\mathbf{q}}^* B_{\mathbf{q}}$$

Thus, the k.e. term is:

$$\frac{1}{2M} \sum_n P_n^2 = \frac{M}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \left(-\omega(\mathbf{q}) \omega(-\mathbf{q}) B_{\mathbf{q}}(t) B_{-\mathbf{q}}(t) - \omega(\mathbf{q}) \omega(-\mathbf{q}) B_{\mathbf{q}}^*(t) B_{-\mathbf{q}}^*(t) \right. \\ \left. + \omega^2(\mathbf{q}) B_{\mathbf{q}}(t) B_{\mathbf{q}}^*(t) + \omega^2(\mathbf{q}) B_{\mathbf{q}}^*(t) B_{\mathbf{q}}(t) \right)$$

* Note: order of B, B^* has been intentionally kept.

p.e. term:

$$V = \frac{K}{2} \sum_n (U_n - U_{n+1})^2 = \frac{K}{2} \sum_n (U_n^2 + U_{n+1}^2 - 2U_n U_{n+1})$$

$$= \underbrace{K \sum_n U_n^2}_{(a)} - \underbrace{\frac{K}{2} \sum_n U_{n-1} U_n}_{(b)} - \underbrace{\frac{K}{2} \sum_n U_{n+1} U_n}_{(c)}$$

↑
↑
actually the same!

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a) &= K \sum_q \sum_{q'} \sum_n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iqna} e^{-i\omega(q)t} A_q + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{-iqna} e^{i\omega(q)t} A_q^* \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iq'na} e^{-i\omega(q')t} A_{q'} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{-iq'na} e^{i\omega(q')t} A_{q'}^* \right) \\
 &= K \sum_q \left(B_q(t) B_{-q}(t) + B_q^*(t) B_{-q}^*(t) + B_q(t) B_q^*(t) + B_q^*(t) B_q(t) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (b) &= \frac{K}{2} \sum_n \sum_q \sum_{q'} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iq(n-na)} B_q + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{-iq(n-na)} B_q^* \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iq'na} B_{q'} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{-iq'na} B_{q'}^* \right) \\
 &= \frac{K}{2} \sum_q \left(B_q(t) B_q(t) e^{-i2qa} + B_q^*(t) B_{-q}^*(t) e^{iqa} + B_q(t) B_q^*(t) e^{-iqa} + B_q^*(t) B_q(t) e^{iqa} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (c) &= \frac{K}{2} \sum_n \sum_q \sum_{q'} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iq(n+na)} B_q + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{-iq(n+na)} B_q^* \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iq'na} B_{q'} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{-iq'na} B_{q'}^* \right) \\
 &= \frac{K}{2} \sum_q \left(B_q(t) B_{-q}(t) e^{iqa} + B_q^*(t) B_{-q}^*(t) e^{-iqa} + B_q(t) B_q^*(t) e^{iqa} + B_q^*(t) B_q(t) e^{-iqa} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$H = T + V$$

$$B_q(t) B_q(t) \text{ terms: } \sum_q \left(-\omega^2(q) \frac{M}{2} + K - \frac{K}{2} e^{-iqa} - \frac{K}{2} e^{iqa} \right) B_q(t) B_q(t) = 0$$

$\omega(q)\omega(-q) = \omega(q)^2$
 \parallel
 because $-\omega^2(q) = \frac{2K}{M} (\cos qa - 1)$ is the dispersion relation

$$B_q^*(t) B_{-q}^*(t) \text{ terms: } \sum_q \left(-\omega^2(q) \frac{M}{2} + K - \frac{K}{2} e^{iqa} - \frac{K}{2} e^{-iqa} \right) B_q^*(t) B_{-q}^*(t) = 0$$

\parallel same reason

Thus, only terms $\sim B_q B_q^*$ and $\sim B_q^* B_q$ in H .

$$B_q^*(t) B_q(t) \text{ terms: } \sum_q \left(\omega^2(q) \frac{M}{2} + \underbrace{K - \frac{K}{2} e^{iga} - \frac{K}{2} e^{-iga}}_{\omega^2(q) \frac{M}{2}} \right) B_q^*(t) B_q(t)$$

$$= \sum_q M \omega^2(q) B_q^*(t) B_q(t)$$

$$B_q(t) B_q^*(t) \text{ terms: } \sum_q \left(\omega^2(q) \frac{M}{2} + \underbrace{K - \frac{K}{2} e^{-iga} - \frac{K}{2} e^{iga}}_{\omega^2(q) \frac{M}{2}} \right) B_q(t) B_q^*(t)$$

$$= \sum_q M \omega^2(q) B_q(t) B_q^*(t)$$

Therefore, the total Hamiltonian is given by:

$$H = \sum_q M \omega^2(q) [B_q^*(t) B_q(t) + B_q(t) B_q^*(t)]$$

This is the end of the classical mechanical treatment.

For later purpose, one may introduce \hbar into H :

$$H = \sum_q \frac{\hbar \omega(q)}{2} \frac{2M\omega(q)}{\hbar} (B_q^*(t) B_q(t) + B_q(t) B_q^*(t))$$

$$= \sum_q \frac{\hbar \omega(q)}{2} (b_q^*(t) b_q(t) + b_q(t) b_q^*(t))$$

$$\text{where } B_q(t) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega(q)}} b_q(t).$$

But note that up to here, there is nothing quantum mechanical!
(\hbar is introduced simply as a constant)

Discrete: Quantum Mechanics - Monatomic Chain

- From L , define p_n corresponding to u_n
 - Classical Mechanics \rightarrow Quantum Mechanics $u_e \rightarrow \hat{u}_e$
 $p_e \rightarrow \hat{p}_e$
- Impose: $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} p_n u_e - u_e p_n = -i\hbar \delta_{ne} \text{ or } [p_n, u_e] = -i\hbar \delta_{ne} \\ [u_n, u_e] = 0 ; [p_n, p_e] = 0 \end{array} \right.$ " \wedge " omitted

Aim: Explore the commutation relation between B_q, B_q^*

Recall:

$$u_n(t) = \sum_q \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iqna} (B_q(t) + B_{-q}^*(t))$$

Thus, $(B_q + B_{-q}^*)$ is the Fourier coefficient of $u_n(t)$

$$\underbrace{B_q(t) + B_{-q}^*(t)}_{\equiv \alpha_q(t)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{-iqna} u_n(t) \equiv \alpha_q(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(t) &= M \dot{u}_n(t) = \sum_q -i\omega(q) \frac{M}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iqna} B_q(t) + i\omega(q) \frac{M}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iqna} B_{-q}^*(t) \\ &= \sum_q \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{iqna} (-i\omega(q) M B_q(t) + i\omega(-q) M B_{-q}^*(t)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \underbrace{(-i B_q(t) + i B_{-q}^*(t)) M \omega(q)}_{\equiv} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{-iqna} p_n(t)$$

$$B_q(t) M \omega(q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{-iqna} p_n(t)$$

$$\text{Thus, } \begin{cases} \alpha_q = B_q + B_q^* \\ \beta_q = -i B_q + i B_q^* \end{cases}$$

$$\text{OR } B_q(t) = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_q(t) + i \beta_q(t))$$

$$B_q^*(t) = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_q(t) - i \beta_q(t))$$

In full form,

$$B_q(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{-i q n a} u_n(t) + \frac{i}{2} \sum_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{-i q n a} \frac{p_n(t)}{M \omega(q)} \right)$$

$$= \sum_n \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{N}} u_n(t) + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{N}} \frac{1}{M \omega(q)} p_n(t) \right) e^{-i q n a}$$

$$B_q^*(t) = \sum_n \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{N}} u_n(t) - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{N}} \frac{1}{M \omega(q)} p_n(t) \right) e^{-i q n a}$$

$$\text{OR } B_q^*(t) = \sum_n \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{N}} u_n(t) - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{N}} \frac{1}{M \omega(q)} p_n(t) \right) e^{i q n a}$$

Thus, B_q, B_q^* are expressed in terms of u_n, p_n .

Commutators of $[u_n, p_n], \text{ etc.} \Rightarrow$ Commutators of $[B_q, B_q^*]$ etc.

$$[B_q, B_{q'}^*] = ? \quad B_q(t) \text{ and } B_{q'}(t) \\ \text{same time } t$$

$$[B_q, B_{q'}^*] = \frac{1}{4} \left((\alpha_q + i\beta_q)(\alpha_{-q'} - i\beta_{-q'}) - (\alpha_{-q'} - i\beta_{-q'})(\alpha_q + i\beta_q) \right) \\ = \frac{1}{4} \left([\alpha_q, \alpha_{-q'}] + [\beta_q, \beta_{-q'}] + i[\beta_q, \alpha_{-q'}] - i[\alpha_q, \beta_{-q'}] \right)$$

$$\text{Recall: } \alpha_q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{-iqna} u_n(t); \quad \beta_q = \frac{1}{M\omega(q)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{-iqna} p_n(t)$$

$$\therefore [\alpha_q, \alpha_{-q'}] \sim [u_n, u_{n'}] = 0; \quad [\beta_q, \beta_{-q'}] \sim [p_n, p_{n'}] = 0$$

$$\text{Thus, } [B_q, B_{q'}^*] = \frac{i}{4} \left([\beta_q, \alpha_{-q'}] - [\alpha_q, \beta_{-q'}] \right) \\ = \frac{i}{4} \left([\beta_q, \alpha_{-q'}] + [\beta_{-q'}, \alpha_q] \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\beta_q, \alpha_{-q'}] &= \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{M\omega(q)} \sum_n e^{-iqna} \sum_{n'} e^{iq'n'a} [p_n, u_{n'}] \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{M\omega(q)} \sum_n e^{-iqna} \sum_{n'} e^{iq'n'a} \frac{\hbar}{i} \delta_{nn'} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{M\omega(q)} \sum_n e^{ina(q'-q)} \frac{\hbar}{i} = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{1}{M\omega(q)} \delta_{q, q'} \end{aligned}$$

$$[\beta_{-q'}, \alpha_q] = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{1}{M\omega(-q)} \delta_{q, q'} = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{1}{M\omega(q)} \delta_{q, q'}$$

$$\therefore [B_q, B_{q'}^*] = \frac{i}{4} \frac{\hbar}{i} \cdot \frac{1}{M\omega(q)} \delta_{q, q'} \cdot 2 = \frac{\hbar}{2M\omega(q)} \delta_{q, q'}$$

which is the required commutator.

$$\left[\sqrt{\frac{2M\omega(q)}{\hbar}} B_q, \sqrt{\frac{2M\omega(q')}{\hbar}} B_{q'}^* \right] = \delta_{q,q'}$$

$$[b_q, b_{q'}^*] = \delta_{q,q'}, \text{ usually written as } [b_q, b_{q'}^+] = 0$$

Similarly, one can find $[B_q, B_{q'}]$, $[B_q^*, B_{q'}^*]$

The result is $[b_q, b_{q'}] = 0$, $[b_q^*, b_{q'}^*] = 0$ or $[b_q^+, b_{q'}^+] = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \hat{H} &= \sum_q \frac{\hbar\omega(q)}{2} [b_q^{*+} b_q + b_q b_q^+] \\ &= \sum_q \frac{\hbar\omega(q)}{2} [b_q^+ b_q + 1 + b_q^+ b_q] \\ &= \sum_q \frac{\hbar\omega(q)}{2} + \sum_q \hbar\omega(q) b_q^+ b_q \end{aligned}$$

which is just a collection of quantum oscillators.

In short, one starts with

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\hat{p}_n^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_n (\hat{u}_n - \hat{u}_{n+1})^2$$

with $[\hat{p}_n, \hat{u}_l] = \frac{\hbar}{i} \delta_{nl}$, etc.

Introduce: b_q, b_q^+ through

$$\begin{cases} u_n(t) = \sum_q \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega(q)N}} (b_q(t) + b_{-q}^+(t)) e^{iqna} \\ p_n(t) = -i \sum_q \sqrt{\frac{\hbar M\omega(q)}{2N}} (b_q(t) - b_{-q}^+(t)) e^{iqna} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} b_q(t) = \sum_n \left(\sqrt{\frac{M\omega(q)}{2\hbar N}} u_n(t) + i \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\hbar M\omega(q)N}} p_n(t) \right) e^{-iqna} \\ b_q^+(t) = \sum_n \left(\sqrt{\frac{M\omega(q)}{2\hbar N}} u_n(t) - i \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\hbar M\omega(q)N}} p_n(t) \right) e^{iqna} \end{cases}$$

and then \hat{H} becomes

$$\hat{H} = \sum_q \frac{\hbar\omega(q)}{2} + \sum_q \hbar\omega(q) b_q^+ b_q$$

Schrödinger Equation is:

$$\left(\sum_{\mathbf{q}} \hbar \omega(\mathbf{q}) b_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{q}} \right) \Psi = E \Psi$$

Eigenstate is characterized by $|\{n_{\mathbf{q}}\}\rangle$
 \uparrow
 occupation numbers
 (# phonons)

In this form, the formalism can treat system with different numbers of (quasi-) particles.

From chain to string

Continuum: Classical Field Theory

1. An equation for the field

- For the string problem, we have the discrete chain as a guide. In other problems, we can identify the equation from either classical physics or quantum physics. In some problems, one simply constructs a Lagrangian using reasonable arguments.
- For the chain, consider

$N \rightarrow \infty, a \rightarrow 0$, such that $Na = L = \text{finite}$

\hookrightarrow (from discrete to continuum)

Discrete: label n

Continuum: $na = x$

Discrete equation of motion: (x is a continuous variable)

$$M\ddot{u}_n(t) = K(u_{n+1} + u_{n-1} - 2u_n)$$

Task: turn eq. into continuous version

$$\Delta n = 1, \quad x = na, \quad \Delta x = \Delta n \cdot a$$

Writing $\frac{M}{a} = \rho$ (mass density)

OR $M = \rho a$

$$\rho a \ddot{u}_x(t) = \frac{Ka [u_{x+\Delta x}(t) + u_{x-\Delta x}(t) - 2u_x(t)]}{a}$$

$$= Ka \left[\frac{u_{x+\Delta x}(t) - u_x(t)}{\Delta x} - \frac{u_x(t) - u_{x-\Delta x}(t)}{\Delta x} \right]$$

$$= Ka^2 \frac{1}{\Delta x} \left[\frac{u_{x+\Delta x}(t) - u_x(t)}{\Delta x} - \frac{u_x(t) - u_{x-\Delta x}(t)}{\Delta x} \right]$$

$$= Ka^2 \frac{1}{\Delta x} \left[\left. \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x} \right|_{x+\frac{\Delta x}{2}} - \left. \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x} \right|_{x-\frac{\Delta x}{2}} \right]$$

$$= Ka^2 \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\rho \ddot{u}(x,t) = Ka \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2}} = g \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2}$$

- standard wave equation
- $a \rightarrow 0$, $Ka \rightarrow \text{finite} = g$
- Continuum: $a \rightarrow 0$, always in long wavelength limit, i.e., picks up low-energy excitations
- $u(x,t)$ is the field (displacement field) in the problem. It gives the displacement from equilibrium at x at time t .

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial t^2} = g \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2} \text{ is the field equation}$$

Proceed to look for the dispersion relation

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} e^{iqx} e^{-i\omega(q)t} A_q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} e^{iqx} B_q(t)$$

Substitute into field equation:

$$-\omega^2(q) \rho B_q(t) = -g q^2 B_q(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[\omega^2(q) = \frac{g}{\rho} q^2 \right. \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{i.e. } \omega \sim q \\ \text{as expected for} \\ \text{long wavelength} \\ \text{excitations} \end{array} \right.$$

Continuum: No atomic scale periodicity any more⁺

Periodic B.C.:

$$u(x+L,t) = u(x,t)$$

$$\Rightarrow q = \frac{2\pi \cdot n}{L}; \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \text{ (no restriction)}$$

Properties:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \int_0^L \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} e^{iqx}\right)^* \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} e^{iqx}\right) dx = 1 \\ \int_0^L \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} e^{iqx}\right)^* \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} e^{iq'x}\right) dx = 0, \quad q \neq q' \end{array} \right\} \text{ orthonormal property}$$

⁺ Don't need to restrict q to 1st B.Z.

Task: Construct a Lagrangian L when plugged into a continuum form of the Lagrangian equation gives the field equation.

Why? If you can do this, we can define the conjugate momentum, Hamiltonian, and get prepared for imposing commutation relations.

Again, guided by discrete equations.

$$L = T - V$$

$$T = \sum_n \frac{1}{2} M \dot{u}_n^2 \quad (\text{discrete})$$

$$= \sum_n \frac{1}{2} \rho a \dot{u}_n^2$$

$$\boxed{T = \int_0^L \frac{1}{2} \rho (\dot{u}(x,t))^2} \quad \leftarrow \text{continuum}$$

• Note:
 T is a function of a function!
(functional)

$$V = \frac{K}{2} \sum_n (u_n - u_{n+1})^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_n (Ka) \frac{a}{a^2} (u_n - u_{n+1})^2$$

\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow
 g $(\Delta x)^2$

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L g \left(\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx$$

$$L = \int_0^L \frac{1}{2} \rho \left(\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} \right)^2 dx - \int_0^L \frac{1}{2} g \left(\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx$$

$$= \int_0^L \mathcal{L} dx$$

\uparrow Lagrangian density

Note: $L = L(t)$

- $L \left[u(x,t), \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} \right]$ or $L \left[u(x,t), \dot{u}(x,t) \right]^+$
- $\mathcal{L} \left(u(x,t), \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x}, \dot{u}(x,t) \right)$ is useful in local field theory.
- In field theory notations, the field is usually written as $\phi(x,t)$ instead of $u(x,t)$.

⁺ Note: $L(t)$. $L[u(x,t), \dot{u}(x,t)]$ is analogous to $L[q, \dot{q}]$, i.e., depends on u and \dot{u} at all points x in space, but $L(t)$ doesn't depend on x itself.

What is the appropriate Lagrangian Equation?

Motivated by what was done in discrete case.

$$L = T - V = \sum_n \frac{M}{2} \dot{u}_n^2 - \sum_n \frac{K}{2} (u_n - u_{n+1})^2$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{u}_n} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_n} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{u}_n} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{u}_n} \sum_{n'} \frac{M}{2} \dot{u}_{n'}^2 = \sum_{n'} \frac{M}{2} 2 \dot{u}_{n'} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \dot{u}_{n'}}{\partial \dot{u}_n}}_{\delta_{nn'}} = M \dot{u}_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_n} &= -\frac{K}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n} \sum_{n'} (u_{n'} - u_{n'+1})^2 \\ &= -K \sum_{n'} (u_{n'} - u_{n'+1}) \left(\underbrace{\frac{\partial u_{n'}}{\partial u_n}}_{\delta_{nn'}} - \underbrace{\frac{\partial u_{n'+1}}{\partial u_n}}_{\delta_{n, n'+1}} \right) \\ &= -K(u_n - u_{n+1}) + K(u_{n-1} - u_n) \\ &= K(u_{n+1} + u_{n-1} - 2u_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore M \ddot{u}_n = K(u_{n+1} + u_{n-1} - 2u_n) \text{ as expected}$$

Note that in the steps, we used

$$\frac{\partial \dot{u}_{n'}}{\partial \dot{u}_n} = \delta_{nn'} \quad ; \quad \frac{\partial u_{n'}}{\partial u_n} = \delta_{nn'}$$

Now, $u_n(t) \rightarrow u(x,t)$

We need to consider derivatives like $\frac{\partial \dot{u}(x)}{\partial \dot{u}(x')}$?!

Define derivatives: new kind of derivatives

$$\frac{\delta u(x)}{\delta u(x')} = \delta(x-x')$$

^ Dirac δ -function

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} f(x) \delta(x-a) dx = f(a) \quad x_1 < a < x_2$$

$$\frac{\delta \dot{u}(x)}{\delta \dot{u}(x')} = \delta(x-x')$$

Chain rule still applies

$$\frac{\delta f(u(x))}{\delta u(x')} = \frac{\partial f(u(x))}{\partial u(x)} \frac{\delta u(x)}{\delta u(x')}$$

Recall: $L = \int_0^L \frac{1}{2} p \dot{u}(x)^2 dx - \int_0^L \frac{1}{2} g \left(\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx$

We want to see if

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{u}(x)} - \frac{\delta L}{\delta u(x)} = 0$$

would give

$$p \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = g \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$$

← a natural extension of the equation in the discrete case

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{u}(x)} &= \frac{\delta}{\delta \dot{u}(x)} \left(\int_0^L \frac{1}{2} \rho \dot{u}(x')^2 dx' \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \rho \frac{\delta \dot{u}(x')^2}{\delta \dot{u}(x)} dx' \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \rho 2 \dot{u}(x') \frac{\delta \dot{u}(x')}{\delta \dot{u}(x)} dx' && \text{chain rule} \\ &= \int_0^L \rho \dot{u}(x') \delta(x'-x) dx' \\ &= \rho \dot{u}(x) \end{aligned}$$

$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{u}(x)} = \rho \ddot{u}(x)$ which is the time-derivative term in the wave (field) equation
 \therefore looks promising!

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta L}{\delta u(x)} &= \int_0^L \frac{-1}{2} g \frac{\delta}{\delta u(x)} \left(\frac{\partial u(x')}{\partial x'} \right)^2 dx' \\ &= - \int_0^L g \frac{\partial u(x')}{\partial x'} \underbrace{\frac{\delta}{\delta u(x)} \left(\frac{\partial u(x')}{\partial x'} \right)}_{\text{what is this?}} dx' \end{aligned}$$

what is this?
 Let's work it out

$$\frac{\partial u(x')}{\partial x'} = \frac{u(x'+dx') - u(x')}{dx'} \quad (dx' \rightarrow 0)$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta u(x)} \left(\frac{\partial u(x')}{\partial x'} \right) = \frac{1}{dx'} \left[\frac{\delta u(x'+dx')}{\delta u(x)} - \frac{\delta u(x')}{\delta u(x)} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{dx'} \left[\delta(x'+dx'-x) - \delta(x'-x) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{dx'} \left[\delta(x'-x + dx') - \delta(x'-x) \right]$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \delta(x'-x)$$

$$\therefore \frac{\delta L}{\delta u(x)} = - \int_0^L g \frac{\partial u(x')}{\partial x'} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \delta(x'-x) dx'$$

$$= \int_0^L g \frac{\partial^2 u(x')}{\partial x'^2} \delta(x'-x) dx'$$

(by parts, surface term vanishes)

$$= g \frac{\partial^2 u(x)}{\partial x^2}$$

Thus $\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{u}(x)} - \frac{\delta L}{\delta u(x)} = 0$

gives $\rho \ddot{u}(x) = g \frac{\partial^2 u(x)}{\partial x^2}$ as we wanted it to be!

Thus, the Lagrangian Equation is:

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{u}(x)} - \frac{\delta L}{\delta u(x)} = 0}$$

From this, we can define the momentum $\pi(x)$ conjugate to $u(x)$ by

$$\boxed{\pi(x) = \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{u}(x)}}$$

For the string,

$$\pi(x) = \rho \dot{u}(x)$$

Then one can construct the Hamiltonian via

$$\boxed{H = \int \dot{u}(x) \pi(x) dx - L} \quad (\text{c.f. } H = \sum_n \dot{u}_n p_n - L)$$

$$= \int \frac{\pi(x)^2}{\rho} dx - L$$

$$= \int_0^L \frac{\pi(x)^2}{2\rho} dx + \int_0^L \frac{\rho}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx$$

$$= \int_0^L \mathcal{H}(x) dx \quad \mathcal{H}(x) = \text{Hamiltonian density}$$

+ There is an alternative (more popular) form that involves the Lagrangian density \mathcal{L} .

The Hamilton equations in continuum are

$$\dot{u}(x) = \frac{\delta H}{\delta \pi(x)} \quad ; \quad \dot{\pi}(x) = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta u(x)}$$

For the string,

$$\dot{u}(x) = \int \pi(x) \quad \text{or} \quad \pi(x) = \int \dot{u}(x)$$

and

$$\dot{\pi}(x) = g \frac{\partial^2 u(x)}{\partial x^2}$$

and hence the eq. of motion.

∴ It is possible to use Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalism in a continuum, with the rules of differentiation modified.

Further Remark:

Recall:

$$L = \int_0^L \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho \dot{u}(x)^2 - \frac{1}{2} g \left(\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] dx = \int_0^L \mathcal{L} dx$$

Lagrangian density

In general,

$\mathcal{L}(u(\vec{x},t), \vec{\nabla} u(\vec{x},t), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(\vec{x},t))$ could also depend on higher derivatives, but usually first derivatives suffice.

useful in local field theories.

The Lagrange Equation in terms of \mathcal{L} is:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u(\vec{x},t)} - \vec{\nabla} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\vec{\nabla} u(\vec{x},t))} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\dot{u}(\vec{x},t))} = 0$$

Example: For the string, $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u(x)} = 0$, $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{u}} = \rho \dot{u} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{u}} = \rho \ddot{u}$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\frac{\partial u}{\partial x})} = -g \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\frac{\partial u}{\partial x})} \right) = -g \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$$

$$\therefore g \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \rho \ddot{u} = 0$$

which is the eq. of motion.

This ends the introduction to classical field theory.

Continuum: Quantization of classical field

Promote $u(x) \rightarrow$ operator $u(x)$

$\pi(x) \rightarrow$ operator $\pi(x) = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta u(x)}$

$$[\pi(x), u(x')] = ?$$

$$\pi(x) u(x') - u(x') \pi(x)$$

$$= \frac{\hbar}{i} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta u(x)} u(x') - u(x') \frac{\delta}{\delta u(x)} \right)$$

operating on
an arbitrary
function

$$= \frac{\hbar}{i} \left(u(x') \frac{\delta}{\delta u(x)} + \delta(x-x') - u(x') \frac{\delta}{\delta u(x)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\hbar}{i} \delta(x-x')$$

$$\therefore [\pi(x), u(x')] = \frac{\hbar}{i} \delta(x-x')$$

Similarly, $[u(x), u(x')] = 0$; $[\pi(x), \pi(x')] = 0$

We have H in terms of π and u . Thus, H also becomes an operator.

⁺ One can simply impose these commutation relations as the standard procedure in quantizing a classical field.

Recall:

$$u(x,t) = \sum_q \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} e^{iqx} B_q(t) + c.c. \right)$$

When $u(x,t)$ becomes an operator, so ~~are~~ $B_q(t)$ and $B_q^*(t)$.

$$\Pi(x,t) = \rho \dot{u}(x,t) = \sum_q \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \rho(-i\omega(q)) e^{iqx} B_q(t) + c.c. \right)$$

We can look for $[B_q, B_{q'}^+] = ?$

It is more convenient to define:

$$B_q = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\rho\omega(q)}} b_q ; \quad B_q^+ = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\rho\omega(q)}} b_q^+$$

Then,

$$u(x) = \sum_q \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\rho\omega(q)}} (e^{iqx} b_q + e^{-iqx} b_q^+)$$

$$\Pi(x) = \sum_q \frac{i}{\sqrt{L}} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega(q)\rho}{2}} (-e^{iqx} b_q + e^{-iqx} b_q^+)$$

In terms of b_q, b_q^+ , the Hamiltonian becomes

$$H = \sum_q \hbar\omega(q) \left(b_q^+ b_q + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

The commutators of b_q, b_q^+ are

$$[b_q, b_{q'}^+] = \delta_{qq'}, \quad [b_q, b_{q'}] = 0, \quad [b_q^+, b_{q'}^+] = 0$$